

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/140,230	10/20/93	FENOUIL	R	LLD.100
				EXAMINER
	•	26M1 /0400	HARVEY.D	
THERESA F.	CAMORIÁNO	26M1/0403	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CAMORIANO 8	& SMITH			8
P.O. BOX 43				
COUTSVILLE.	, KY 40253-0	610	2602	
			DATE MAILED:	04/03/95
This is a communication	on from the examiner in PATENTS AND TRAD	charge of your application.		047 037 33
COMMISSIONER OF	FAIENIS AND IHAD	EMARKS		
			. / /	
This application h	as been examined	Responsive to communication filed on	0/15/95	This action is made final.
A shortened statutory	neriod for reconnects	his action is set to expire month(s),		
Failure to respond with	nin the period for respon	nis action is set to expire month(s), nse will cause the application to become abandon	gays fro ned. 35 U.S.C. 133	im the date of this letter.
) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:		
	ATTACHMENT(S	y ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:		
	eferences Cited by Exa		ce of Draftsman's Pa	tent Drawing Review, PTO-948.
	rt Cited by Applicant, P	TO-1449. 4. Noti		Application, PTO-152.
5. L. Information	on How to Effect Draw	ing Changes, PTO-1474 6. 🔲		
Part II SUMMARY C	OF ACTION			
1. X Claims	1-5			
ı. ¡Ді Claims	1-1			are pending in the application.
Of the al	bove, claims		are	withdrawn from consideration.
3. LXI Claims	15			_ are allowed.
		- ·		
5. 🗀 Claims				are objected to.
6.		ar	e subject to restriction	n or election requirement.
		formal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are		
_		ense to this Office action.		po.pooo.
9. ☐ The corrected are ☐ accepta	or substitute drawings table; 🔲 not acceptable	nave been received on (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent	Under 37 C. Drawing Review, PT	F.R. 1.84 these drawings O-948).
<u>-</u>				·
examiner;	additional or substitute disapproved by the exa	sheet(s) of drawings, filed on miner (see explanation).	. has (have) been	☐ approved by the
1. The proposed o	drawing correction, filed	, has been approv	ed; Ddisapproved (see explanation)
2. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received not been received				
□ been filed in	parent application, seri	at no; filed on;	copy has been re	ceived not been received
3. Since this applie	cation annoears to be in	n condition for allowance except for formal matter	m neanas: #!== :	ha anada ta ala di
accordance with	h the practice under Ex	parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	s, prosecution as to	ne ments is closed in
4. Other				·

EXAMINER'S ACTION

WENT TO

PTOL-326 (Rev. 2/93)

Serial Number: 08/140,230 -2-

Art Unit: 2602

1. With respect to the "Information Disclosure Statement" filed 1/31/94, the examiner acknowledges applicant's request to have the delay in the filing of the statement excused. However, it is noted that the examiner of record does not have the authority to excuse the delay. Such can only be obtained by petition.

Given the above, it is noted that the disclosure statment filed 1/31/94 will not be considered at this time.

- 2. The amendment filed filed 1/23/95 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. § 132 because it introduces new matter into the specification. 35 U.S.C. § 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows:
- 1) the insertion in line 9 on page 11 which, by applicant's own admission (see the "remarks" filed 1/23/95), attempts to add to the original description an explicit statement pertaining to matters which were at best originally implicit.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the response to this Office action.

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use

06/140,230

Art Unit: 2602

the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The specification is objected to under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to provide an enabling disclosure.

- 1) It is noted that to actually have provided only the recited switching between the upstream, downstream, and user inputs/outputs it appears to be inherent that any switch would have required less than half of the recited NxN switching points. Specifically, applicant's disclosed invention actually appears to have been in configuring the transmission system so as to have allowed the system to use fewer switching points rather than in producing a switch which requires fewer switching points for a given number of inputs as presently appears to be claimed. Clarification is needed.
- 4. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, for the reasons set forth in the objection to the specification.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David E. Harvey whose telephone number is (703) 305-4365.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

DEH 4/1/95

David Eldervey Exerciser Grap 2602

-3-